UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/734,162 12/15/2003		Ji Yong Park	0095.1054	2087
49455 STEIN MCEW	7590 04/22/201 EN, LLP	EXAMINER		
1400 EYE STR SUITE 300		KIM, JAY C		
WASHINGTON	N, DC 20005	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2815	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/22/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

usptomail@smiplaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/734,162	PARK ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
JAY C. KIM	2815	

	JAY C. KIM	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>14 April 2010</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apperfor Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ster than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE).	g date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FII	n. LED WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	ension and the corresponding amount of hortened statutory period for reply origi	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed wi AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further core (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below (c) They are not deemed to place the application in between appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a content of the proposed amendment of the proposed after the proposed amendment of the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, between the proposed amendment(s) filed after a filed after a filed amendment(s) filed after a filed	nsideration and/or search (see NOTw); eer form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje	ΓE below); ducing or simplifying tl	
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.124. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.125. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: 	will not be entered, or b) wil	•	-
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3-7 and 9-12</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		•	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.		condition for allowan	ce because:
12.	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/JK/	/Jerome Jackson Jr./ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 2815	

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Amended claims 1, 6 and 7 including limitations "a channel region having a plurality of crystal grain boundaries; source and drain regions respectively formed at opposite ends of the channel region; and offset regions formed between the source and drain regions and the channel region", "a width of each one of the offset regions is smaller than a distance between the primary crystal grain boundaries formed in the channel region", "a channel region; source and drain regions respectively formed at opposite sides of the channel region and between the source and drain regions", and "a channel region; offset regions formed at opposite sides of the channel region, the offset regions having no doping; source and drain regions respectively formed at outer sides of the offset regions" and deleting limitation "having no doping" require further consideration and/or search.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicants argue that "regarding the rejection of independent claims 6, 7 and 12 it is noted that these claims recite some substantially similar features as claim 1", that "thus, the rejection of these claims is also traversed for similar reasons as set forth above", and that "accordingly, Applicants respectfully assert that the rejection of claims 1, 6, 7 and 12 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) should be withdrawn because Oka fails to teach or suggest the novel features of independent claims 1, 6, 7 and 12". (1) Applicants' argumensts on rejection of claim 6 are not based on the Examiner's rejection, but rather on Applicants' alternate interpretation of Oka et al. (2) The above arguments are not persuasive, because the scopes of claims 1, 6, 7 and 12 are not similar, especially claims 1 and 7 recite "offset regions", while claims 6 and 12 recite "a lightly doped drain (LDD) region or offset region", and amended claim 12 does not include "the novel features".